Decision Register Entry

Single Member Cabinet Decision

Executive Forward Plan Reference

E2622

Queen Square Cycle Link Traffic Regulation Order (TRO)

Decision maker/s	Cllr Caroline Roberts, Cabinet Member for Transport Cllr Paul Crossley, Leader of the Council and Liberal Democrat Group Leader
The Issue	To consider the responses to the public advertisement proposing the introduction of parking restrictions to facilitate a cycle facility.
Decision Date	24th November 2014
The decision	The Cabinet Member agrees that the parking restrictions are approved in accordance with the advertised scheme.
Rationale for decision	In accordance with Section 1 of the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984, the proposals are designed to 'facilitate the passage on the road of any class of traffic and pedestrian', and to 'avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or to prevent the likelihood of any such danger arising'.
Financial and budget implications	The cost of carrying out the works to implement this scheme is estimated to be £9k. This is included within the approved 2014/15 capital budget within the Integrated Transport Block, which is funded by DfT grant. One parking bay will be removed to facilitate this scheme. Income lost from this is likely to be recovered through alternative parking bays in the local area, such as in Royal Avenue. In addition to the capital costs, the revenue maintenance costs associated with the project, consisting of additional signs and road markings will be incorporated within the existing highways maintenance revenue budget.
Issues considered	Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Equality (age, race, disability, religion/belief, gender, sexual orientation); Human Rights; Corporate; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillor; Cabinet colleagues; Other B&NES Services; Service Users; Local Residents; Community Interest Groups; Stakeholders/Partners; Section 151 Finance Officer; Chief Executive; Monitoring Officer
How consultation was carried out	Consultation was carried out by e-mailing internal and external contacts. Notices were also advertised in the local press and erected on all affected roads and locations of specific interest for a 21 day period.
Other options considered	Do not remove a parking space. However, if a parking space is not removed, the gap would not be wide enough for 2 way cycling and would not provide a space for cyclists travelling south to stop and wait before proceeding if the footway is busy.

	-
Signatures of Decision Makers	
Date of Signature	
Subject to Call-in until 5 Working days have elapsed following publication of the decision	